



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/759,965 | 01/12/2001 | Matthew Thomas Heisey | 106281 / 0528224 | 1681 |

26874 7590 04/30/2008
FROST BROWN TODD, LLC
2200 PNC CENTER
201 E. FIFTH STREET
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

CRANE, LAWRENCE E

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1623

| | |
|-------------------|---------------|
| NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-------------------|---------------|

04/30/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@fbtlaw.com
rgaunce@fbtlaw.com

| | | | |
|--|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/759,965 | HEISEY ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Lawrence E. Crane | 1623 | |

All Participants:

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(2) Steven J. Goldstein.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The rejection of record

Claims discussed:
all remaining of record

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant was advised that amended claim 1 and the Sarama declaration were directed in part to subject matter not previously considered or previously searched, and therefore not allowable, and that an Advisory Action would be mailed shortly.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Response after final received.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

/Lawrence E. Crane/
Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)